United States Patent and Trademark Office

 $\sqrt{}$

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699 , 566 ·	10/31/2003	Pijush Dutta	020375-007810US	1580	
TOWNSEND A	7590 06/18/200 AND TOWNSEND AN CADERO CENTER	AND CREW, LLP			
	EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2191		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/699,566		DUTTA, PIJUSH		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	MARY STEELMAN	2191		

	MARY STEELMAN	2191							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	THE REPLY FILED <u>23 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED W									
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		136(a) and the appropris	to avtancian fee						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ccause						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	· ·	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		·							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-13</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-4</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		•						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Mary Steelm	- 0/						
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	rinky sice in							
	4	Many Steel	man						
		Many Steel Many Steel Primary 27 6.12.2/007	*amine						
		6.12.21007							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued (page 6, 3rd paragraph) "Carpenter fails to disclose, either expressly or inherently, examining statements in computer source code to identify a plurality of conditional statements and a plurality of action statements, tagging the plurality of conditional statements and the plurality of action statements, generating action sets based on the conditional statements, or identifying associated sets of action statements." Examiner's Response: Examiner disagrees. Col. 20: 50-col. 23: 41, Activity ManagementSubsystem 146, Activity Plan Builder 146d. See FIG. 4 and related text col. 28: 6 - col. 31: 33, Mapping Subsystem 140 and Canonical Mapper 140a, used to translate files. "Canon is a template that describes a general format for a domain of information that is to be converted." Col. 30: 33-48, "Building a map entails selecting each component of the input file and defining its function (identifying & tagging) in terms of the Cannon being used. Attributes about certain Canonical Elements are defined...group elements may have modifiers defined for them. A modifier is a conditional statements that further defines its function..." Col. 19: 47, Activity Plan is a decision tree (conditional statements) of these operations along with contextual information carried for the flow and available to each operation. Activity Plans (col. 20: 54) are grouped tasks. Regarding the argument that Carpenter does not extract business logic form computer source code, see Col. 10: 51-col. 11:10. Business logic is provided in tasks in an Activity Plan (source code). Examiner maintains the prior art rejection.

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner withdraws the 35 USC 112 First Paragraph Rejection. Examiner agrees that the term 'database' and the process of loading a database are disclosed in US Provisional Application Number 60/422,962, page 2, lines 8 & 9.